1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 6 AT SEATTLE 7 SHAWN VAGUE, 8 Case No. C22-1684 RSM Plaintiff, 9 ORDER AMENDING THE v. **SCHEDULING ORDER** 10 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, 11 Defendant. 12 Based on Plaintiff's motion to amend the scheduling order, and that Defendant's Counsel 13 has no opposition, it is hereby ORDERED that the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 11) shall be amended 14 15 as follows: Plaintiff shall have up to and including November 20, 2023, to file the optional Reply Brief. The Court notes Plaintiff has requested to amend the Scheduling Order multiple 16 times, and this instant request for additional time was due to "a personal request and need." Dkt. 17 27 at 1. The Court makes great efforts to ensure that social security cases are resolved in a 18 19 timely fashion. No further extensions of time to file the optional Reply Brief will be granted. 20 DATED this 14th day of November, 2023. 21 22 Ricardo S. Martinez United States District Judge 23